

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR TWO SELFMAPS OF A COMPACT D^* -METRIC SPACE

Upender. S

Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Nagarjuna Government College (Autonomous), Nalgonda-508001, India

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for two selfmaps on a D^ -metric space and deduce a common fixed point theorem for two selfmaps on a compact D^* -metric space. Further we show that a common fixed point theorem for two selfmaps of a metric space prove by Brian Fisher ([5]) is a particular case of our theorem.*

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Key Words: D^* -metric space; Compatible; Fixed point theorem.

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Fixed point theory is a fundamental area in nonlinear functional analysis, offering powerful tools for solving a wide range of problems across mathematics and applied sciences. The pioneering work of Banach on contraction mappings laid the foundation for this field. His celebrated fixed point theorem guarantees the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for self mappings in complete metric spaces and ensures convergence via Picard iteration. Over the decades, this classical result has inspired numerous generalizations to broader classes of mappings and more generalized spaces.

Different mathematicians tried to generalize the usual notion of metric space (X, d) . In 1992 Dhage [2] has initiated the study of generalized metric space called D - metric space and fixed point theorems for selfmaps of such spaces. Later researchers have made a significant contribution to fixed point of D - metric spaces in [1], [3], and [4]. Unfortunately almost all the fixed point theorems proved on D -metric spaces are not valid in view of papers [6], [7] and [8].

Recently Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe and Haiyun Zhou [9], have introduced D^* - metric spaces as a probable modification of D - metric spaces and proved some fixed point theorems.

Definition 1.1([9]): Let X be a non-empty set. A function $D^*: X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a **generalized metric** or **D^* -metric** or **G-metric** on X , if it satisfies the following conditions

- (i) $D^*(x, y, z) \geq 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.
- (ii) $D^*(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$.
- (iii) $D^*(x, y, z) = D^*(\sigma(x, y, z))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$
where $\sigma(x, y, z)$ is any permutation of the set $\{x, y, z\}$.
- (iv) $D^*(x, y, z) \leq D^*(x, y, w) + D^*(w, z, z)$ for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

The pair (X, D^*) , where D^* is a generalized metric on X is called a **D^* -metric space** or a **generalized metric space**.

Example 1.2: Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define $D_1^*: X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$D_1^*(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$ for $x, y, z \in X$. Then (X, D_1^*) is a generalized metric space.

Example 1.3: Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define $D_2^*: X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$D_2^*(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$ for $x, y, z \in X$. Then (X, D_2^*) is a generalized metric space.

Example 1.4: Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, define $D^*: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$D^*(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y = z \\ \max \{x, y, z\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then $(\mathbb{R}, D^*)$ is a generalized metric space.

Note 1.5: Using the inequality in (iv) and (ii) of Definition 1.1, one can prove that if (X, D^*) is a D^* -metric space, then

$$D^*(x, x, y) = D^*(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

$$\text{Infact } D^*(x, x, y) \leq D^*(x, x, x) + D^*(x, y, y) = D^*(x, y, y) \text{ and}$$

$$D^*(y, y, x) \leq D^*(y, y, y) + D^*(y, x, x) = D^*(y, x, x), \text{ proving the inequity.}$$

Definition 1.6: Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. For $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, the set $B_{D^*}(x, r) = \{y \in X; D^*(x, y, y) < r\}$ is called the **open ball** of radius r about x .

For example, if $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $D^*: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x| \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Then}$$

$$B_{D^*}(0, 1) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}; D^*(0, y, y) < 1\}$$

$$= \{y \in \mathbb{R}; 2|y| < 1\}$$

$$= \{y \in \mathbb{R}; |y| < \frac{1}{2}\} = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Definition 1.7: Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space and $E \subset X$.

- (i) If for every $x \in E$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{D^*}(x, \delta) \subset E$, then E is said to be an **open subset** of X
- (ii) If there is a $k > 0$ such that $D^*(x, y, y) < k$ for all $x, y \in E$ then E is said to be **D^* -bounded**. It has been observed in [9] that, if τ is the set of all open sets in (X, D^*) , then τ is a topology on X (called the **topology induced by the D^* -metric**) and also proved that $B_{D^*}(x, r)$ is an open set for each $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ ([9], Lemma 1.5). If (X, τ) is a compact topological space we shall call (X, D^*) is a **compact D^* -metric space**.

Definition 1.8: Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to

- (i) **converge to x** if $D^*(x_n, x_n, x) = D^*(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (ii) be a **Cauchy sequence**, if to each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a natural number n_0 such that $D^*(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq n_0$.

It is easy to see (infact proved in [9], Lemma 1.8 and Lemma 1.9) that, if $\{x_n\}$ converges to x in (X, D^*) then x is unique and that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, D^*) . However, a Cauchy sequence in a (X, D^*) need not be convergent as shown in the example given below.

Example 1.9: Let $X = (0, 1]$ and $D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$ for $x, y, z \in X$, so that (X, D^*) is a D^* -metric space.

Define $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then $D^*(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 2|x_n - x_m| = 2\left|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}\right|$, so that

$D^*(x_n, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, proving $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, D^*) . Clearly $\{x_n\}$ does not converge to any point in X .

Definition 1.10: A D^* -metric space (X, D^*) is said to **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in it converges to some point in it.

It follows that the D^* -metric space given in Example 1.9 is not complete.

Note 1.11: We have seen (In Example 1.2 and Example 1.3) that on any metric space (X, d) , it is possible to define at least two D^* -metrics, namely D_1^* and D_2^* , using the metric d . We shall call

D_1^* and D_2^* as D^* -metrics induced by d . Thus every metric space (X, d) gives rise to at least two D^* -metric spaces (X, D_1^*) and (X, D_2^*) . Also if (X, D^*) is a D^* -metric then defining $d_0(x, y) = D^*(x, y, y)$ for $x, y \in X$, we can show easily that (X, d_0) is a metric space and we shall call d_0 as a metric induced by D^* .

The following result is of use for our discussion.

Theorem 1.12: Let (X, d) be a metric space and $D_i^* (i=1, 2)$ be the two D^* -metrics induced by d (given in Example 1.2 and Example 1.3). For any $i (=1, 2)$ a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, D_i^*) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) .

Proof: - First note that for $i=1, 2$ we have

$$d(x, y) \leq D_i^*(x, y, y) \leq 2d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Now the theorem follows immediately in view of the above inequality.

For example, if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) , then for any given $\epsilon > 0$ choose a natural number n_0 such that $m, n \geq n_0$ implies $d(x_m, x_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$; and note that for the same n_0 we have

$$m, n \geq n_0 \text{ implies } D_i^*(x_m, x_n, x_n) \leq 2d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon, \\ \text{proving that } \{x_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } (X, D_i^*).$$

Similarly the other part of the theorem can be proved using the other inequality noted in the beginning of the proof.

Corollary 1.13: Suppose (X, d) is a metric space. Let D_1^* and D_2^* be two D^* -metrics induced by d , then for any $i (=1, 2)$ the space (X, D_i^*) is complete if and only if (X, d) is complete.

Proof: - Follows from Theorem 1.12.

Definition 1.14: If (X, D^*) is a D^* -metric space, then D^* is a **continuous function** on X^3 , in the sense that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_n, y_n, z_n) = D^*(x, y, z)$, whenever $\{(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}$ in X^3 converges to $(x, y, z) \in X^3$. Equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(x_n, y_n, z_n) = D^*(x, y, z).$$

Notation: For any selfmap T of X , we denote $T(x)$ by Tx .

If S and T are selfmaps of a set X , then any $z \in X$ such that $Sz = Tz = z$ is called a **common fixed point** of S and T .

Two selfmaps S and T of X are said to be **commutative** if $ST = TS$ where ST is their composition SoT defined by $(SoT)x = STx$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.15: Suppose S and T are selfmaps of a D^* -metric space (X, D^*) satisfying the condition $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$. Then for any $x_0 \in X$, $Tx_0 \in T(X)$ and hence $Tx_0 \in S(X)$, so that there is a $x_1 \in X$ with $Tx_0 = Sx_1$, since $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$. Now $Tx_1 \in T(X)$ and hence there is a $x_2 \in X$ with $Tx_1 \in T(X) \subseteq S(X)$ so that $Tx_1 = Sx_2$. Again $Tx_2 \in T(X)$ and hence $Tx_2 \in S(X)$ with $Tx_2 = Sx_3$. Thus repeating this process to each $x_0 \in X$, we get a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $Tx_n = Sx_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$. We shall call this sequence as an **associated sequence of x_0 relative to the two selfmaps S and T** . It may be noted that there may be more than one associated sequence for a point $x_0 \in X$ relative to selfmaps S and T .

Let S and T are selfmaps of a D^* -metric space (X, D^*) such that $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$. For any $x_0 \in X$, if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Tx_n = Sx_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called an **associated sequence** of x_0 relative to the two selfmaps S and T .

Definition 1.16 : A function $\emptyset: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a **contractive modulus**, if $\emptyset(0) = 0$ and $\emptyset(t) < t$ for $t > 0$.

Definition 1.17: A real valued function $\emptyset$ defined on $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **upper semi continuous**, if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \emptyset(t_n) \leq \emptyset(t)$ for every sequence $\{t_n\}$ in X with $t_n \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.18: If S and T are selfmaps of a D^* -metric space (X, D^*) such that for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(STx_n, TSx_n, TSx_n) = 0$, then we say that S and T are **compatible**.

THE MAIN RESULTS

Theorem. Suppose S and T are selfmaps of a D^* -metric space (X, D^*) satisfying the conditions

- (i) $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (ii) $D^*(Tx, Ty, Ty) \leq \beta(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
where

$$(ii)' \beta(x, y) = \max \{D^*(Sx, Sy, Sy), D^*(Sx, Tx, Tx), D^*(Sy, Ty, Ty), \\ \frac{1}{2}[D^*(Sx, Ty, Ty) + D^*(Sy, Tx, Tx)]\}$$

- (iii) S and T are continuous.
- (iv) the pair (S, T) is compatible,
and
- (v) there is a point $x_0 \in X$ and an associated sequence $\{x_n\}$ of x_0 relative to the two selfmaps such that the sequences $\{Tx_n\}$ and $\{Sx_n\}$ converge to some point $z \in X$
Further, if
- (vi) there exists $(p, q) \in X^2$ such that $f(p, q) = \sup_{(x,y) \in X^2} f(x, y)$,

where

$$(vi)' f(x, y) = \frac{D^*(Sx, Ty, Ty)}{\beta(x, y)}$$

then S and T have a unique common fixed point $z \in X$.

Proof: First suppose that $\beta(x, y) > 0$ for all $x, y \in X$, so that $f(x, y)$ is well defined. Now by the inequality (ii), we find that $f(x, y) < 1$ for all $x, y \in X$. Hence if $c = f(p, q)$ then $c \leq 1$, so that $f(x, y) \leq c$ for all $x, y \in X$ and therefore $D^*(Tx, Ty, Ty) \leq c \beta(x, y)$

From (v), we get

(2.1.1) $Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}$ and $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Now, since S, T are continuous, we have by (2.1.1)

$S^2x_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$, and $STx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Since the pair (S, T) is compatible, we have, in view of (2.1.1) that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(STx_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n+1}) = 0$,

$TSx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Also from (ii), we have

(2.1.2) $D^*(TSx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}) \leq \beta(Sx_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$,

where $\beta(Sx_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) = \max \{D^*(S^2x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), D^*(S^2x_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n}, TSx_{2n}), \\ D^*(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}), \frac{1}{2}[D^*(S^2x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}) + D^*(Sx_{2n}, TSx_{2n+1}, TSx_{2n+1})]\}$

which on letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the continuity of D^* gives

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}) &= \max \{D^*(Sz, z, z), D^*(Sz, Sz, Sz), D^*(z, z, z), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} [D^*(Sz, z, z) + D^*(Sz, z, z)]\} \\ &= D^*(Sz, z, z)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore letting n to ∞ in (2.1.2), and using the above we get

$$(2.1.3) \quad D^*(Sz, z, z) \leq D^*(Sz, z, z).$$

Now, if $Sz \neq z$, then $D^*(Sz, z, z) > 0$ and by the definition, we get $D^*(Sz, z, z) < D^*(Sz, z, z)$, contradicting (2.1.3)

Thus we have $Sz = z$.

Now again from (ii) we have

$$(2.1.4) \quad D^*(Tz, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}) \leq \beta(z, x_{2n})$$

where $\beta(z, x_{2n}) = \max \{D^*(Sz, Sx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz), D^*(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}),$
 $\frac{1}{2} [D^*(Sz, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}) + D^*(Sx_{2n}, Tz, Tz)]\}$, in which on

letting n to ∞ and using $Sz = z$, the continuity of D^* and the condition (v), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(z, x_{2n}) &= \max \{D^*(Sz, z, z), D^*(z, Tz, Tz), D^*(z, z, z), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} [D^*(Sz, z, z) + D^*(Sz, z, z)]\} \\ &= D^*(z, Tz, Tz)\end{aligned}$$

Again letting n to ∞ in (2.1.4), and using the above we get $D^*(Tz, z, z) \leq D^*(Tz, z, z)$

and this will be contradiction if $Tz \neq z$, therefore $Tz = z$. Thus z is a common fixed point of S and T .

To prove that z is unique, if possible suppose that z' is another common fixed point of S and T . Then from (ii), we have

$$(2.1.5) \quad D^*(z, z', z') = D^*(Tz, Tz', Tz') \leq \beta(z, z')$$

where $\beta(z, z') = \max \{D^*(Sz, z', z'), D^*(z, Tz, Tz), D^*(Sz', Tz', Tz'),$
 $\frac{1}{2} [D^*(Sz, z', z') + D^*(Sz', Tz, Tz)]\}$
 $= D^*(z, z', z')$

so that (2.1.5) gives $D^*(z, z, z') \leq D^*(z, z, z')$ and this will give a contradiction if $z \neq z'$.

Therefore $z = z'$. Thus z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

Now suppose that $\beta(x', y') = 0$ for some $x', y' \in X$. Then

$$\max \{D^*(Sx', Sy', Sy'), D^*(Sx', Tx', Tx'), D^*(Sy', Ty', Ty'), \\ \frac{1}{2}[D^*(Sx', Ty', Ty') + D^*(Sy', Tx', Tx')]\} = 0,$$

which implies

$$(2.1.6) \quad Sx' = Tx' = Sy' = Ty'$$

Then $STx' = S(Sx') = S^2x' = SSy'$. Since the pair (S, T) is compatible

$$(2.1.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^*(STx_n, TSx_n, TSx_n) = 0$$

whenever $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Let $x_n = x'$, then $Sx_n \rightarrow Sx'$, $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore (2.1.7) and the continuity of D^* give $D^*(STx', TSx', TSx') = 0$, which implies

$$(2.1.8) \quad STx' = TSx' = T^2x'$$

If $Tx' \neq T^2x'$, then from (ii) we have

$$(2.1.9) \quad D^*(Tx', T^2x', T^2x') < \beta(x', Tx')$$

But by (2.1.6) and (2.1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x', Tx') &= \max \{D^*(Sx', STx', STx'), D^*(Sx', T^2x', T^2x'), D^*(STx', T^2x', T^2x'), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[D^*(Sx', T^2x', T^2x') + D^*(STx', Tx', Tx')]\} \\ &= D^*(Tx', T^2x', T^2x') \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (2.1.9) if $Tx' \neq T^2x'$.

Therefore $Tx' = T^2x'$. Now $Tx' = T^2x' = T(Tx')$, showing that $Tx' = z$ is a fixed point of T .

Further $Sz = STx' = TSx' = T^2x' = Tz = z$.

Therefore z is also a fixed point of S . Hence z is a common fixed point of S and T .

Now we prove the uniqueness of the common fixed point. If possible assume that z' is another common fixed point of S and T . If $z \neq z'$, then from (ii) we have

$$D^*(z, z', z') = D^*(Tz, Tz', Tz') < \beta(z, z')$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \beta(z, z') &= \max \{D^*(Sz, Sz', Sz'), D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz), D^*(Sz', Tz', Tz'), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[D^*(Sz, Tz', Tz') + D^*(Sz', Tz, Tz)]\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= D^*(z, z', z'),$$

This impossibility shows $z = z'$.

Hence z is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, we have the following

2.2 Corollary: Suppose (X, D^*) is a D^* -metric space satisfying conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 2.1. Further, if (X, D^*) is compact. Then S and T have unique common fixed point z .

Proof: Since (X, D^*) is a compact D^* -metric space, it is complete and therefore for each $x_0 \in X$ and for any associated sequence $\{x_n\}$ of x_0 relative to two selfmaps such that the sequences $\{Sx_n\}$ and $\{Tx_n\}$ converge to some $z \in X$ and hence condition (v) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Also, if (X, D^*) is compact D^* -metric space, then $f(x, y)$ is continuous function on the compact D^* -metric space X^2 . Therefore we can find $(p, q) \in X^2$ such that $f(p, q) = \sup_{(x,y) \in X^2} f(x, y)$, proving the condition (vi) of the Theorem 2.1. Hence by Theorem 2.1, the corollary follows.

2.3 Corollary ([5]): Suppose S and T are two selfmaps of metric space (X, d) such that

- (i) $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (ii) $d(Tx, Ty) < \alpha(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

where

- (ii)' $\alpha(x, y) = \max \{d(Sx, Sy), d(Sx, Tx), d(Sy, Ty), d(Sx, Ty), d(Sy, Tx)\}$

- (i) S and T are continuous,
- and

- (iv) $ST=TS$, further if

- (v) X is compact.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Given (X, d) is a metric space satisfying condition (i) to (v) of the corollary. If $D_1^*(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$, then (X, D_1^*) is a D^* -metric space and $D_1^*(x, y, x) = d(x, y)$. Therefore (ii) can be written as $D^*(Tx, Ty, Ty) < \alpha(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where

$\alpha(x, y) = \max \{D_1^*(Sx, Sy, Sy), D_1^*(Sx, Sx, Sx), D_1^*(Sy, Ty, Ty), D_1^*(Sx, Ty, Ty), D_1^*(Sy, Tx, Tx)\}$ which is the same as condition (ii) of Theorem 2.1. Also since (X, d) is complete, we have (X, D_1^*) is complete, by Corollary 1.13.

Now S and T are selfmaps on (X, D_1^*) satisfying conditions of Corollary 2.2 and hence the corollary follows.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, B., Ashraf, M., & Rhoades, B. E. (2001). Fixed point theorems for expansive mappings in D-metric spaces. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, *32*(10), 1513–1518.
- Dhage, B. C. (1992). Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point. *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, *84*(4), 329–336.
- Cited in: *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, *7*(3).
- Dhage, B. C. (1999). A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces. *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, *91*(6), 475–480.
- Dhage, B. C., Pathan, A. M., & Rhoades, B. E. (2000). A general existence principle for fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, *23*(7), 441–448. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171200001587>
- Fisher, B. (1978). A fixed point theorem for compact metric spaces. *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, *25*, 193–194.
- Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2004). On the topology of D-metric spaces and generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, *2004*(51), 2719–2740. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171204311257>
- Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2005a). On the concepts of balls in a D-metric space. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, *2005*(1), 133–141. <https://doi.org/10.1155/IJMMS.2005.133>
- Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2005b). On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, *2005*(12), 1969–1988. <https://doi.org/10.1155/IJMMS.2005.1969>
- Sedghi, S., Shobe, N., & Zhou, H. (2007). A common fixed point theorem in D*-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, *2007*, Article ID 27906. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/27906>